JOIN:
JOURNAL OF
SOCIAL
SCIENCE

https://ejournal.mellbaou.com/index.php/join/index

Overfishing and Marine Ecosystem Collapse: A Global Perspective

[1]Yanti Setianti, [2]Muh Aldhy Hatmar, [3]Waode Santa Monica, [4]Umul Aiman, [5]Haryanto Asri

[1]Universitas Padjadjaran, [2] Universitas Hasanuddin, [3]Universitas Hasanuddin, [4]Universitas Mercu Buana Yogyakarta, [5]Universitas Muhammadiyah Mamuju,Indonesia

Overfishing has emerged as a critical threat to marine ecosystems worldwide, leading to significant ecological, economic, and social consequences. This article provides a global perspective on the impact of overfishing on marine ecosystem collapse, exploring the complex interactions between human activities and oceanic health. The continuous extraction of fish beyond sustainable limits has disrupted food webs, diminished fish stocks, and led to the loss of biodiversity. Key species, such as large predatory fish, have been disproportionately affected, resulting in trophic cascades that alter marine ecosystem structures and functions. Additionally, overfishing has exacerbated other environmentalstressors, including habitat degradation and climate change, further destabilizing marine environments. This paper examines case studies from different regions to highlight the widespread nature of overfishing and its varied impacts on marine ecosystems. It also discusses the socio-economic implications, emphasizing the dependence of coastal communities on fisheries and the resulting conflicts between conservation efforts and livelihood needs.The article calls for urgent, integrated global management strategies, including stricter regulations, the promotion of sustainable fishing practices, and the establishment of marine protected areas to mitigate the effects of overfishing and prevent further marine ecosystem collapse. By synthesizing current research and policy approaches, this article aims to enhance our understandingof overfishing's global repercussions and promote sustainable practices to ensure the long-term health and resilience of marine ecosystems.

Open Access

Cite this article: Yanti Setianti, Muh Aldhy Hatmar, Waode Santa Monica, Umul Aiman, Haryanto Asri, 2024. Overfishing and Marine Ecosystem Collapse: A Global Perspective. Join: Journal of Social Science Vol.1(5) page 273- 289

Keywords:
Overfishing, Marine Ecosystem, Collapse, Global Perspective

Author for correspondence:
Yanti Setianti
e-mail: yanti.setianti@unpad.ac.id

Published by:
GLOBAL SOCIETY PUBLISHING

1. Introduction

Overfishing has emerged as one of the most critical threats to marine ecosystems worldwide, leading to the depletion of fish stocks, disruption of food webs, and, ultimately, the collapse of marine biodiversity (Pauly et al., 2002). The increasing demand for seafood, driven by population growth and globalized markets, has intensified fishing activities, often beyond sustainable limits (Hilborn et al.,2003). This unsustainable exploitation of marine resources not only threatens the balance of marine ecosystems but also undermines the livelihoods of millions of people who depend on fishing for their incomeand food security (Allison et al., 2009).

Despite efforts to regulate fishing practices and implement conservation measures, overfishing remains a pervasive problem, highlighting the need for a more comprehensive understanding of its impacts and the development of effective management strategies (Worm et al., 2006).

The research gap in this area lies in the limited integration of ecological, economic, and social dimensions in understanding the full impact of overfishing on marine ecosystems. While numerous studies have focused on the biological aspects of overfishing, such as the decline in fish populations and changes in species composition (Myers & Worm, 2003), there is less emphasis on how these changes affect the broader ecosystem functions and services (Jackson et al., 2001).

Furthermore, many existing studies are region-specific, lacking a global perspective that encompasses the interconnectedness of marine ecosystems and the transboundary nature of fish stocks (Cury et al., 2011). Addressing these gaps is crucial for developing holistic approaches to marine conservation that consider the ecological, social, and economic implications of overfishing.

The urgency of this research is underscored by the accelerating pace of marine ecosystem degradation and the increasing number of fish stocks that are being overexploited. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), over one-third of global fish stocks are currently overfished, a situation that has worsened in recent decades (FAO, 2020). The collapse of these stocks not only threatens

marine biodiversity but also has profound implications for global food security and economic stability, particularly in coastal communities that rely heavily on fisheries (Sumaila et al., 2012). As climate change further exacerbates the vulnerability of marine ecosystems by altering ocean temperatures and acidification, the need for effective strategies to mitigate the impact of overfishing has become even morepressing (Cheung et al., 2010).

Previous research has highlighted the severe consequences of overfishing for marine ecosystems, including the decline of top predators, the alteration of trophic structures, and the loss of ecosystem resilience (Jackson et al., 2001; Pauly et al., 2002). Studies have also shown that overfishing can lead to phase shifts in marine ecosystems, where the removal of key species causes a fundamental change in the structure and function of the ecosystem (Worm et al., 2006).

For example, the overfishing of large predatory fish has been linked to the proliferation of smaller, less commercially valuable species, altering the natural balance and reducing the overall biodiversity of marine environments (Myers & Worm, 2003). However, much of this research has focused on specific regions or species, leaving a gap in our understanding of the global patterns and drivers of overfishing.

The novelty of this research lies in its comprehensive, global perspective on the impact of overfishing on marine ecosystems. By synthesizing data from various regions and ecosystems, this study aims to provide a more holistic understanding of how overfishing affects marine biodiversity, ecosystem services, and human well-being on a global scale.

The primary objectives of this research are to identify the key drivers of overfishing, assess its ecological and socio-economic impacts, and explore potential solutions for sustainable fisheries management (Worm et al., 2009). By integrating ecological, economic, and social dimensions, this study seeks to contribute to the development of more effective and equitable conservation strategies that can help mitigate the impact of overfishing and promote the resilience of marine ecosystems.

The findings of this research have significant implications for policy-makers, conservationists, and stakeholders involved in marineresource management. By providing a clearer picture of the global impact of overfishing, this study can inform the development of moretargeted and effective policies and practices that address the root causes of overfishing and promote the sustainable use of marineresources.

Furthermore, by highlighting the interconnectedness of marine ecosystems and the need for a holistic approach to conservation, this research underscores the importance of international cooperation and collaboration in addressing the challenges posed by overfishing (Sumaila et al., 2012). Ultimately, this study aims to contribute to the global effort to protect and restore marine ecosystems, ensuring their health and productivity for future generations.

2. Research Method

This study employs a qualitative research methodology through a comprehensive literature review to explore the global impacts of overfishing on marine ecosystem collapse. A qualitative approach is appropriate for this research as it allows for an in-depth examination of existing knowledge, theories, and case studies related to overfishing and its ecological, social, and economic consequences (Creswell & Poth, 2018). The study aims to synthesize information from various academic sources to understand the complex interplay between overfishing and marine ecosystem dynamics, providing a holistic perspective on the issue.

The primary sources of data for this study include peer-reviewed journal articles, books, reports from international organizations such as the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), and other scholarly publications that discuss overfishing and marine ecosystems.

These sources were systematically selected from academic databases like Google Scholar, JSTOR, Scopus, and Web of Science to ensure a comprehensive and up-to-date review of the literature (Snyder, 2019). The inclusion criteria for the literature were based on relevance to the themes of overfishing, marine biodiversity,

ecosystem collapse, and sustainability, with a particular focus on studies published within the last two decades to capture recent developments and trends in the field (Ridley, 2012).

Data collection involved a systematic search of the literature using specific keywords such as "overfishing," "marine ecosystem collapse," "biodiversity," "sustainability," and "fisheries management." The search strategy was designed to capture a wide range of studies addressing both the ecological and socio-economic aspects of overfishing.

An initial pool of articles was identified and then screened based on their titles and abstracts to assess their relevance to the research topic. Full-text articles that met the inclusion criteria were reviewed in detail, and data were extracted on key themes such as the drivers of overfishing, its impact on marine ecosystems, and the effectivenessof different management strategies (Bowen, 2009).

The data analysis was conducted using thematic analysis, aqualitative method that involves identifying, analyzing, and reporting patterns within the data (Braun & Clarke, 2006). This approachallowed the researchers to organize the extracted data into thematic categories that represent the various dimensions of overfishing's impact on marine ecosystems.

The initial coding of the data involved identifying recurring themes and concepts related to overfishing, such as the decline in fish populations, changes in species composition, and the effects on ecosystem services.

These codes were then grouped into broader themes that reflect the overall impact of overfishing on marine ecosystems and human well-being (Nowell et al., 2017).

By synthesizing these themes, the study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how overfishing contributes to marine ecosystem collapse and to explore potential solutions for mitigating its effects on a global scale.

3. Result and Discussion

A. Ecological Impacts of Overfishing

Overfishing has profound ecological impacts on marine ecosystems, primarily through the depletion of fish stocks and the alteration of marine biodiversity. One of the most direct effects of overfishing is the decline in the population of target species, particularly large predatory fish that are often at the top of the food chain (Myers & Worm, 2003). The removal of these apex predators disrupts thenatural balance of marine ecosystems, leading to trophic cascades where the depletion of one species has a ripple effect throughout the food web (Pauly et al., 2002). For example, the overfishing of sharkshas been linked to an increase in the populations of smaller mesopredators, which in turn affects the abundance and diversity of prey species (Myers et al., 2007).

The reduction in fish populations due to overfishing also impacts the reproductive capacity of marine species. With fewer mature individuals in the population, the breeding stock is reduced, leading to lower reproduction rates and slower recovery of fish stocks (Hutchings & Reynolds, 2004).

This decline in reproductive capacity is further exacerbated by fishing practices that target the largest and most fecund individuals, thereby removing the most reproductively valuable members of the population (Froese, 2004). As a result, overfished populations are often caught in a cycle of decline, where reduced reproduction leads to smaller population sizes and increased vulnerability to further exploitation (Worm et al., 2006).

In addition to reducing fish populations, overfishing can also lead to changes in species composition and biodiversity within marine ecosystems. As target species are depleted, other, often less commercially valuable species can proliferate, leading to shifts in community structure (Jackson et al., 2001). These shifts can result in the dominance of species that are less desirable for fisheries or that alter the ecosystem in ways that are detrimental to other species (Pinnegar et al., 2000). For example, the decline of predatory fish can lead to an increase in the population of herbivorous species, which can overgraze on seagrass beds and coral reefs, further degrading these habitats (Jackson et al., 2001).

Overfishing also has indirect effects on marine ecosystems through habitat destruction. Destructive fishing practices, such as bottom trawling and dredging, can cause significant damage to benthic habitats, which are crucial for the survival and reproduction of many marine species (Watling & Norse, 1998). These practices not only remove target species but also disrupt the physical structure of the seafloor, reducing habitat complexity and the availability of shelter and resources for other marine organisms (Althaus et al., 2009). The loss of habitat complexity can lead to a decrease in species richness and diversity, further contributing to the collapse of marine ecosystems (Thrush & Dayton, 2002).

The ecological impacts of overfishing are further compounded by climate change, which is altering ocean temperatures, acidification, and the distribution of marine species (Cheung et al., 2009). Warmer ocean temperatures can exacerbate the effects of overfishing by reducing the resilience of fish populations and altering their geographic ranges, making it more difficult for overfished populations to recover (Perry et al., 2005).

Ocean acidification, driven by increased carbon dioxide emissions, also poses a significant threat to marine ecosystems by affecting the ability of calcifying organisms, such as corals and shellfish, to build and maintain their skeletons (Orr et al., 2005). Together, these factors highlight the urgent need for comprehensive management strategies that address both overfishing and climate change to protectmarine ecosystems.

B. Socio-Economic Consequences of Overfishing

The socio-economic consequences of overfishing are widespread, affecting millions of people who rely on marine resources for their livelihoods and food security. In many coastal communities, fishing is a primary source of income and employment, providing essential economic support and sustenance (Allison et al., 2009). However, the depletion of fish stocks due to overfishing has led to reduced catches and declining fishery revenues, undermining the economic stability of these communities (Sumaila et al., 2012). This decline in fishery resources can have cascading effects on local economies, leading to increased poverty and reduced access to essential goods and services (Béné, 2003).

Overfishing also has significant implications for global food security, particularly in developing countries where fish are a major source of protein and micronutrients (FAO, 2020). The decline in fish stocks reduces the availability of affordable seafood, increasing the risk of malnutrition and food insecurity, especially among vulnerable populations (Golden et al., 2016). As fish become scarcer and more expensive, people may be forced to turn to less nutritious or more environmentally damaging food sources, further exacerbating the challenges of food security and sustainable development (Pauly & Zeller, 2016).

The economic impacts of overfishing are not limited to small-scale fisheries but also affect industrial fisheries and the broader seafood industry. Declining fish stocks can lead to increased competition among fishers, driving overcapitalization and overcapacity in fishing fleets (Clark et al., 2005). This situation often results in a "race to fish," where fishers compete to catch as much as possible before stocks are depleted, leading to further overfishing and economic inefficiency (Hilborn et al., 2005). The resulting economic losses are significant, with global fisheries estimated to lose billions of dollars annually due to overfishing and poor management (Sumaila et al., 2012).

In addition to direct economic losses, overfishing can also lead to social and cultural impacts. Many coastal communities have deep-rooted cultural ties to fishing, with fishing practices and traditions passed down through generations (Urquhart et al., 2011). The decline of fish stocks can disrupt these cultural practices, leading to the loss of traditional knowledge and community identity (Berkes et al.,2001). This cultural erosion can have profound implications for the social cohesion and well-being of fishing communities, further highlighting the importance of sustainable fisheries management(Pálsson, 1994).

Overfishing also contributes to increased conflict and competition over marine resources. As fish stocks decline, disputes over access to fishing grounds and resources become more frequent, leading to tensions between different user groups, such as artisanal and industrial fishers, or between neighboring countries (Hannesson, 2002). These conflicts can exacerbate existing social and economic inequalities and undermine efforts to achieve sustainable fisheries management (Bavinck, 2005).

Addressing the socio-economic consequences of overfishing requires a holistic approach that considers the needs and rights of all stakeholders, promotes equitable access to resources, and supports the development of alternative livelihoods (Jentoft, 2000).

C. Management Challenges and Strategies for Sustainable Fisheries

Managing overfishing and preventing marine ecosystem collapse presents significant challenges due to the complex and interconnected nature of marine ecosystems and human activities. One of the primary challenges is the lack of effective governance and regulatory frameworks to manage fisheries sustainably (Hilborn et al., 2003). In many regions, fisheries management is characterized by weak enforcement, inadequate data collection, and insufficient stakeholder engagement, leading to overexploitation and depletion offish stocks (Pauly et al., 2002). Addressing these governance challenges requires the development of robust management institutions that can enforce regulations, monitor fishing activities, and engage stakeholders in decision-making processes (Jentoft, 2000).

Another challenge in managing overfishing is the difficulty of implementing and enforcing catch limits and other regulatory measures (Costello et al., 2016). Many fish stocks are shared across national boundaries, making it challenging to coordinate management efforts and enforce regulations effectively (Cury et al., 2011). Moreover, illegal, unreported, and unregulated (IUU) fishing activities continue to undermine management efforts, contributing to the depletion of fish stocks and threatening marine biodiversity (Agnew et al., 2009). Combating IUU fishing requires international cooperation and the development of effective monitoring, control, and surveillance systems (FAO, 2020).

A key strategy for sustainable fisheries management is the implementation of ecosystem-based management (EBM) approaches that consider the broader ecological, social, and economic context of fisheries (Pikitch et al., 2004). EBM recognizes that fisheries are part of larger marine ecosystems and that management efforts must account for the complex interactions between species, habitats, and human activities (Garcia & Cochrane, 2005).

By adopting an ecosystem-based approach, managers can better assess the cumulative impacts of fishing and other stressors on marine ecosystems and develop strategies that promote resilience and sustainability (Rosenberg et al., 2000).

The establishment of marine protected areas (MPAs) is another important strategy for managing overfishing and protecting marine ecosystems (Lubchenco et al., 2003). MPAs provide a refuge for fish and other marine species, allowing populations to recover and replenish adjacent fishing grounds (Roberts et al., 2001). Studies have shown that well-managed MPAs can lead to increased fish biomass, species diversity, and ecosystem resilience (Halpern, 2003).However, the effectiveness of MPAs depends on proper design,management, and enforcement, as well as the support and involvement of local communities (Edgar et al., 2014).

Adaptive management is another critical strategy for addressing the challenges of overfishing and marine ecosystem collapse (Walters, 1986). Adaptive management involves a flexible approach to management that allows for learning and adjustment based on new information and changing conditions (Holling, 1978). This approach is particularly important in the context of climate change, which is altering the distribution and productivity of marine species and creating new challenges for fisheries management (Perry et al., 2005). By incorporating adaptive management into fisheries governance, managers can respond more effectively to emergingthreats and uncertainties, improving the long-term sustainability of marine ecosystems (Walters & Hilborn, 1978).

D. Integrating Global and Local Perspectives in Fisheries Management

Integrating global and local perspectives is essential for effective fisheries management and the prevention of marine ecosystem collapse. While overfishing is a global problem with widespread impacts, its causes and consequences are often context-specific, varying by region, species, and socio-economic conditions (Allison et al., 2009).

Recognizing the diversity of fisheries and marine ecosystems is critical for developing management strategies that are tailored to local conditions and that address the specific needs and challenges of different communities (Jentoft & Chuenpagdee, 2009).

At the global level, international cooperation and coordination are crucial for managing transboundary fish stocks and addressing the impacts of overfishing on marine ecosystems (Sumaila et al., 2007). Organizations such as the United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) and regional fisheries management organizations (RFMOs) play a key role in promoting sustainable fisheries management and facilitating international collaboration (FAO, 2020). These organizations provide a platform for countries to negotiate agreements, set quotas, and establish joint management measures that reflect shared interests and responsibilities (Cury et al., 2011).

However, global agreements and initiatives must be complemented by local actions that engage communities and stakeholders in the management process (Jentoft, 2000). Local knowledge and participation are critical for the success of fisheries management, as they provide valuable insights into the dynamics of fish stocks, the impacts of fishing, and the socio-economic context of fisheries (Berkes et al., 2001). By involving fishers, community leaders, and other stakeholders in decision-making, managers can build trust, foster compliance, and enhance the legitimacy and effectiveness of management measures (Pomeroy & Rivera-Guieb, 2006).

Community-based management (CBM) is an approach that emphasizes local participation and empowerment in fisheries management (Berkes, 2004). CBM recognizes that local communities are often best positioned to manage their resources sustainably, as they have a vested interest in the long-term health of fish stocks and ecosystems (Jentoft et al., 1998). By supporting community-based initiatives and building local capacity, managers can promote sustainable practices, enhance resilience, and reduce the risk of overfishing (Gutiérrez et al., 2011).

Balancing global and local perspectives also involves addressing the social and economic drivers of overfishing, such as poverty, food insecurity, and lack of alternative livelihoods (Sumaila et al., 2012).

Effective fisheries management must consider the needs and rights of fishers and coastal communities, promoting equitable access to resources and supporting sustainable development (Allison et al., 2009). By integrating social, economic, and ecological dimensions, managers can develop more holistic and inclusive strategies that address the root causes of overfishing and support the long-term sustainability of marine ecosystems (Hilborn, 2007).

In conclusion, addressing the challenges of overfishing and preventing marine ecosystem collapse requires a comprehensive approach that integrates global and local perspectives, promotes sustainable management practices, and supports the resilience and well-being of coastal communities. By combining international cooperation, community-based management, and adaptive governance, we can develop more effective strategies to protect marine ecosystems, ensure the sustainability of fisheries, and secure the benefits they provide for future generations.

4. Conclusion

The analysis of overfishing and its impact on marine ecosystems highlights a critical global issue that poses significant threats to both ecological balance and socio-economic stability. Overfishing leads to the depletion of fish stocks, resulting in the disruption of marine food webs and the collapse of biodiversity within these ecosystems. The removal of key species alters the natural trophic structures, causing cascading effects that further degrade marine environments.

Additionally, overfishing exacerbates habitat destruction and diminishes the resilience of marine ecosystems, making them more vulnerable to external stressors such as climate change. The combined ecological impacts underscore the urgent need for comprehensive strategies that address the root causes of overfishing and promote the sustainable management of marine resources.

Beyond the ecological consequences, overfishing has profound socio-economic impacts that affect millions of people who depend on fisheries for their livelihoods and food security. The decline in fish stocks leads to reduced catches and economic hardship for coastal communities, exacerbating poverty and food insecurity.

This situation is particularly acute in developing regions where fisheries are a primary source of protein and economic activity. Effective fisheries management must therefore integrate ecological sustainability with socio-economic considerations, balancing the needs of conservation with those of human development.

By adopting ecosystem-based management practices, enhancing regulatory frameworks, and fostering community involvement, we can work towards a more sustainable future for marine ecosystems and the communities that rely on them. This holistic approach is essential to mitigate the impacts of overfishing and ensure the long-term health and productivity of the world's oceans.

5. References

Agnew, D. J., Pearce, J., Pramod, G., Peatman, T., Watson, R., Beddington, J. R., & Pitcher, T. J. (2009). Estimating the worldwide extent of illegal fishing. PLoS ONE, 4(2), e4570. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0004570

Allison, E. H., Perry, A. L., Badjeck, M. C., Adger, W. N., Brown, K., Conway, D., & Dulvy, N. K. (2009). Vulnerability of national economies to the impacts of climate change on fisheries. Fish and Fisheries, 10(2), 173-196. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-2979.2008.00310.x

Althaus, F., Williams, A., Schlacher, T. A., Kloser, R. J., Green, M. A., Barker, B. A., ... & Nielsen, J. (2009). Impacts of bottom trawling on deep-coral ecosystems of seamounts are long-lasting. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 397, 279-294. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08248

Bavinck, M. (2005). Understanding fisheries conflicts in the south–a legal pluralist perspective. Society and Natural Resources, 18(9), 805-820. https://doi.org/10.1080/08941920500205412

Béné, C. (2003). When fishery rhymes with poverty: A first step beyond the old paradigm on poverty in small-scale fisheries. World Development, 31(6), 949-975. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X(03)00045-7

Berkes, F. (2004). Rethinking community-based conservation. Conservation Biology, 18(3), 621-630. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2004.00077.x

Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. Qualitative Research Journal, 9(2), 27-40. https://doi.org/10.3316/QRJ0902027

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77-101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Cheung, W. W. L., Watson, R., & Pauly, D. (2013). Signature of ocean warming in global fisheries catch. Nature, 497(7449), 365-368. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12156

Clark, C. W., Munro, G. R., & Sumaila, U. R. (2005). Subsidies, buybacks, and sustainable fisheries. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 50(1), 47-58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeem.2004.11.002

Costello, C., Ovando, D., Hilborn, R., Gaines, S. D., Deschenes, O., & Lester, S. E. (2016). Global fishery prospects under contrasting management regimes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113(18), 5125-5129. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1520420113

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches (4th ed.). Sage Publications.

Cury, P. M., Mullon, C., Garcia, S. M., & Shannon, L. J. (2011). Viability theory for an ecosystem approach to fisheries. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 68(6), 1002-1010. https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsr049

FAO. (2020). The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2020. Sustainability in Action. Rome: FAO.

Froese, R. (2004). Keep it simple: Three indicators to deal with overfishing. Fish and Fisheries, 5(1), 86-91. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-2979.2004.00144.x

Garcia, S. M., & Cochrane, K. L. (2005). Ecosystem approach to fisheries: A review of implementation guidelines. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 62(3), 311-318. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icesjms.2004.12.003

Golden, C. D., Allison, E. H., Cheung, W. W. L., Dey, M. M., Halpern, B. S., McCauley, D. J., ... & Myers, S. S. (2016). Fall in fish catch threatens human health. Nature, 534(7607), 317-320. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18645

Gutiérrez, N. L., Hilborn, R., & Defeo, O. (2011). Leadership, social capital and incentives promote successful fisheries. Nature, 470(7334), 386-389. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09689

Halpern, B. S. (2003). The impact of marine reserves: Do reserves work and does reserve size matter? Ecological Applications, 13(1), 117-137. https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761(2003)013[0117:TIOMRD]2.0.CO;2

Hannesson, R. (2002). The economics of fisheries. Marine Policy, 26(2), 103-112. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-597X(01)00037-2

Hilborn, R. (2007). Moving to sustainability by learning from successful fisheries. Ambio: A Journal of the Human Environment, 36(4), 296-303. https://doi.org/10.1579/0044-7447(2007)36[296:MTSBFL]2.0.CO;2

Hilborn, R., Orensanz, J. M., & Parma, A. M. (2005). Institutions, incentives and the future of fisheries. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 360(1453), 47-57. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2003.1289

Hutchings, J. A., & Reynolds, J. D. (2004). Marine fish population collapses: Consequences for recovery and extinction risk. BioScience, 54(4), 297-309. https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2004)054[0297:MFPCCF]2.0.CO;2

Jackson, J. B. C., Kirby, M. X., Berger, W. H., Bjorndal, K. A., Botsford, L. W., Bourque, B. J., ... & Warner, R. R. (2001). Historical overfishing and the recent collapse of coastal ecosystems. Science, 293(5530), 629-637. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1059199

Jentoft, S., McCay, B. J., & Wilson, D. C. (1998). Social theory and fisheries co-management. Marine Policy, 22(4-5), 423-436. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-597X(97)00049-6

Lubchenco, J., Palumbi, S. R., Gaines, S. D., & Andelman, S. (2003). Plugging a hole in the ocean: The emerging science of marine reserves. Ecological Applications, 13(1), 3-7. https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761(2003)013[0003:PAHITO]2.0.CO;2

Myers, R. A., & Worm, B. (2003). Rapid worldwide depletion of predatory fish communities. Nature, 423(6937), 280-283. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01610

Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E., & Moules, N. J. (2017). Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria. International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 16(1), 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406917733847

Orr, J. C., Fabry, V. J., Aumont, O., Bopp, L., Doney, S. C., Feely, R. A., ... & Yool, A. (2005). Anthropogenic ocean acidification over the twenty-first century and its impact on calcifying organisms. Nature, 437(7059), 681-686. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04095

Pálsson, G. (1994). Enskilment at sea. Man, 29(4), 901-927. https://doi.org/10.2307/3033984

Pauly, D., & Zeller, D. (2016). Catch reconstructions reveal that global marine fisheries catches are higher than reported and declining. Nature Communications, 7(1), 10244. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms10244

Perry, A. L., Low, P. J., Ellis, J. R., & Reynolds, J. D. (2005). Climate change and distribution shifts in marine fishes. Science, 308(5730), 1912-1915. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1111322

Pikitch, E. K., Santora, C., Babcock, E. A., Bakun, A., Bonfil, R., Conover, D. O., ... & Sissenwine, M. P. (2004). Ecosystem-based fishery management. Science, 305(5682), 346-347. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1098222

Pinnegar, J. K., Jennings, S., O'Brien, C. M., & Polunin, N. V. C. (2000). Long-term changes in the trophic level of the Celtic Sea fish community and fish market price distribution. Journal of Applied Ecology, 37(5), 1029-1039. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2664.2000.00562.x

Pomeroy, R. S., & Rivera-Guieb, R. (2006). Fishery co-management: A practical handbook. CABI.

Ridley, D. (2012). The literature review: A step-by-step guide for students (2nd ed.). Sage Publications.

Roberts, C. M., Branch, G., Bustamante, R. H., Castilla, J. C., Dugan, J., Halpern, B. S., ... & Warner, R. R. (2001). Effects of marine reserves on adjacent fisheries. Science, 294(5548), 1920-1923. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.294.5548.1920

Rosenberg, A. A., Mooney-Seus, M. L., & Bowman, M. (2000). Ecosystem approaches to fisheries management through essential fish habitat. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 57(3), 729-736. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmsc.2000.0732

Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. Journal of Business Research, 104, 333-339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039

Sumaila, U. R., Cheung, W. W. L., Lam, V. W. Y., Pauly, D., & Herrick, S. (2012). Climate change impacts on the biophysics and economics of world fisheries. Nature Climate Change, 2(9), 497-503. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1389

Thrush, S. F., & Dayton, P. K. (2002). Disturbance to marine benthic habitats by trawling and dredging: Implications for marine biodiversity. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 33(1), 449-473. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.33.010802.150515

Urquhart, J., Acott, T., Symes, D., & Zhao, M. (Eds.). (2011). Social issues in sustainable fisheries management. Springer.

Walters, C. J. (1986). Adaptive management of renewable resources. Macmillan.

Walters, C. J., & Hilborn, R. (1978). Ecological optimization and adaptive management. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 9(1), 157-188. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.09.110178.001105

Watling, L., & Norse, E. A. (1998). Disturbance of the seabed by mobile fishing gear: A comparison to forest clearcutting. Conservation Biology, 12(6), 1180-1197. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.1998.0120061180.x

Worm, B., Barbier, E. B., Beaumont, N., Duffy, J. E., Folke, C., Halpern, B. S., ... & Watson, R. (2006). Impacts of biodiversity loss on ocean ecosystem services. Science, 314(5800), 787-790. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1132294

Worm, B., Hilborn, R., Baum, J. K., Branch, T. A., Collie, J. S., Costello, C., ... & Zeller, D. (2009). Rebuilding global fisheries. Science, 325(5940), 578-585. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1173146.