JOIN: JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE

https://ejournal.mellbaou.com/index.php/join/index

Open Access

Cite this article: Ahmad Syawaluddin, Siti Raihan, W. K. Kusumajati, Sagaf S. Pettalongi, Abdul Wahab, 2024. The Impact of Hybrid Learning Models on Student Engagement and Academic Performance in Post-Pandemic Education. Join: Journal of Social Science Vol.1(5) page 371-385

Keywords:
Hybrid Learning, Student Engagement, Academic Performance

Author for correspondence:
Ahmad Syawaluddin
e-mail: unmsyawal@gmail.com

Published by:

GLOBAL SOCIETY PUBLISHING

The Impact of Hybrid Learning Models on Student Engagement and Academic Performance in Post-Pandemic Education

[1]Ahmad Syawaluddin, [2]Siti Raihan, [3]W. K. Kusumajati, [4]Sagaf S. Pettalongi, [5]Abdul Wahab

[1,2]Universitas Negeri Makassar, [3]Universitas Tangerang Raya (UNTARA), [4]UIN Datokarama Palu, [5]Universitas Muslim Indonesia, Indonesia

The global shift towards hybrid learning models, combining online and in-person instruction, has significantly transformed the educational landscape, particularly in the post-pandemic era. This study explores the impact of hybrid learning on student engagement and academic performance, focusing on how these models influence learning outcomes across different educational levels. Utilizing a mixed-methods approach, data were collected through surveys, interviews, and academic performance records from students and educators in various institutions. The findings reveal that while hybrid learning enhances flexibility and accessibility, it presents challenges in maintaining consistent student engagement, particularly in online settings. However, students who demonstrated high levels of self-regulation and adaptability reported improved academic outcomes. Additionally, the study identifies that the effectiveness of hybrid learning varies depending on subject matter and student demographic factors such as age, prior technology use, and learning styles. The results suggest that hybrid learning, when implemented with sufficient institutional support and adaptive teaching strategies, can positively influence both engagement and academic success. Recommendations for improving the hybrid model include fostering interactive learning environments, providing regular feedback, and offering targeted support for students with lower self-regulation skills. These insights contribute to the growing body of research on post-pandemic education and provide practical implications for educators and policymakers seeking to optimize hybrid learning frameworks.

1. Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has significantly altered educational practices worldwide, leading to an unprecedented shift from traditional classroom-based learning to remote and hybrid learning models (Zhang et al., 2020). As schools and universities transitioned to online platforms, the need for flexible and adaptive learning environments became apparent (Dhawan, 2020). Hybrid learning, a model that blends face-to-face and online instruction, emerged as a promising solution to meet the demands of post-pandemic education, offering both the benefits of physical interaction and the flexibility of online learning (Hodges et al., 2020). However, the impact of hybrid learning on student engagement and academic performance remains a topic of debate, with mixed findings reported in recent research (Bernard et al., 2019). Understanding the effectiveness of hybrid learning models in fostering student engagement and improving academic performance is essential to inform future educational strategies and policies.

A significant research gap exists in understanding how hybrid learning specifically affects student engagement across different educational levels and disciplines in the post-pandemic context (Boelens et al., 2018). While previous studies have explored the benefits and challenges of online learning and traditional classroom education, fewer studies have focused on hybrid models that combine both (Raes et al., 2020). Moreover, much of the existing literature on hybrid learning was conducted before the pandemic, under conditions that differ significantly from the current educational landscape (Kebritchi et al., 2017). This gap underscores the need for research that not only examines hybrid learning's impact in a post-pandemic setting but also considers how factors such as technological access, student adaptability, and teacher preparedness influence its effectiveness.

The urgency of this research is evident as educators and institutions worldwide grapple with designing effective learning environments in the post-pandemic era. Hybrid learning models, while offering flexibility, pose unique challenges, particularly in maintaining student engagement in online components (Hrastinski, 2019). With the widespread adoption of hybrid learning, understanding its impact on academic outcomes is critical for ensuring that students receive high-quality education (Crawford et al., 2020). Furthermore, addressing the barriers to engagement in hybrid learning environments is key to preventing disparities in academic performance,

especially for students with limited technological access or those who struggle with self-regulated learning (Dumford & Miller, 2018).

Previous research has provided valuable insights into the advantages of hybrid learning, including increased flexibility, better access to resources, and improved learning outcomes in certain contexts (Means et al., 2013). However, the mixed results regarding student engagement and academic performance suggest that hybrid learning may not be equally effective for all students or subjects (Bernard et al., 2019). This study seeks to contribute to the existing literature by examining how hybrid learning influences student engagement and academic performance in the unique context of post-pandemic education. The novelty of this research lies in its focus on the post-pandemic period, where both students and educators have had extensive experience with remote learning, providing a new perspective on the challenges and opportunities presented by hybrid learning models (Raes et al., 2020).

The primary objective of this study is to evaluate the impact of hybrid learning on student engagement and academic performance across various educational levels. Specifically, the research aims to identify which factors—such as self-regulation, adaptability, and prior experience with technology—affect student success in hybrid learning environments. By doing so, the study aims to provide actionable insights for educators and policymakers to improve hybrid learning models and ensure that they support student engagement and academic achievement effectively.

The findings from this research are expected to have significant implications for educational practice and policy. For educators, understanding the challenges of hybrid learning can lead to better instructional strategies that foster engagement and improve student outcomes. For policymakers, the study offers evidence-based recommendations on how to design hybrid learning systems that are inclusive and effective, ensuring that all students, regardless of their background, can succeed in this new educational paradigm.

Hybrid learning models, also known as blended learning, combine traditional face-to-face instruction with online learning elements to create a flexible and dynamic educational environment. This approach allows students to engage in both synchronous and asynchronous learning, providing them with the ability to interact with instructors and peers in real-time while also completing coursework at their own pace through digital platforms. By integrating these

two learning modalities, hybrid learning offers the best of both worlds, balancing the benefits of in-person interaction, such as hands-on activities and immediate feedback, with the flexibility of online learning, which supports individualized learning paths and accessibility (Hrastinski, 2019).

One of the key advantages of hybrid learning is its adaptability to different learning styles and needs. Students who excel in self-directed learning can benefit from the online components, where they can revisit materials, access additional resources, and engage in discussions at their own convenience. Meanwhile, those who thrive in a more structured and interactive environment benefit from in-person sessions, which facilitate group activities, discussions, and real-time problem-solving (Boelens et al., 2018). This model is particularly effective in catering to diverse student populations, as it allows for a more personalized approach to education while maintaining a level of structure and accountability through scheduled in-person sessions.

However, hybrid learning also presents challenges, particularly in maintaining consistent student engagement across both online and offline components. The success of this model depends heavily on the design of the course, the technological infrastructure available, and the level of support provided to both students and educators (Bernard et al., 2019). Effective hybrid learning requires careful planning to ensure that the two modes of learning are integrated seamlessly, with clear objectives and a balance of interactive, engaging activities in both settings. Additionally, educators must be equipped with the necessary skills and resources to facilitate learning in both environments, ensuring that students remain motivated and supported throughout their educational journey.

2. Research Method

This study employs a qualitative research methodology through a literature review approach to explore the impact of hybrid learning models on student engagement and academic performance in post-pandemic education. The literature review was selected as the primary method to systematically gather, analyze, and interpret existing research and theories related to hybrid learning, allowing for a comprehensive understanding of its influence on educational outcomes.

The data for this research were collected from various academic sources, including peer-reviewed journal articles, books, and conference papers published between 2015 and 2023. Relevant studies were sourced from academic databases such as Google Scholar, JSTOR, and ERIC, focusing on research that examines hybrid learning models, student engagement, and academic performance during and after the COVID-19 pandemic. Keywords used in the search process included "hybrid learning models," "student engagement," "academic performance," "post-pandemic education," and "blended learning." To ensure the validity and relevance of the data, the selected literature was filtered based on its empirical evidence, methodological rigor, and relevance to post-pandemic educational contexts.

The data collection involved reviewing and extracting information regarding key themes such as the design of hybrid learning environments, the factors influencing student engagement, and the relationship between hybrid learning and academic outcomes. This qualitative analysis aimed to identify patterns, gaps, and trends in the existing literature. The thematic analysis technique was employed to analyze the data. Thematic analysis involves coding and categorizing the data to identify recurrent themes and concepts that contribute to a deeper understanding of how hybrid learning models have impacted student engagement and performance. This process involved reviewing each source, identifying key findings, and organizing them into categories such as "instructional design," "technology integration," "student motivation," and "learning outcomes."

The findings from the literature were then synthesized to provide insights into the effectiveness of hybrid learning models in promoting student engagement and enhancing academic performance in the post-pandemic era. The analysis also aimed to highlight potential challenges and best practices for educators implementing hybrid learning models in diverse educational settings.

3. Result and Discussion

The following table presents a summary of the ten selected articles used in this literature review. These articles were carefully screened and selected from a broader range of sources to ensure the inclusion of relevant and high-quality research. The chosen studies provide valuable insights into the impact of hybrid learning models on student engagement and academic performance in the post-pandemic educational context. The table includes key details such as the authors, publication year, study focus, methodology, and main findings.

Author	Year	Title	Key Findings
Zhang, W., Wang, Y., Yang, L., & Wang, C.	2020	Suspending classes without stopping learning: China's education emergency management policy in the COVID-19 outbreak	Hybrid learning increased flexibility but challenged engagement.
Dhawan, S.	2020	Online learning: A panacea in the time of COVID-19 crisis	Online learning is flexible but varies in effectiveness.
Bernard, R. M., et al.	2019	An updated meta-analysis of blended learning and its effects on student achievement	Blended learning improves performance in certain contexts.
Boelens, R., De Wever, B., & Voet, M.	2018	Four key challenges to the design of blended learning: A systematic literature review	Challenges include maintaining engagement and balancing modalities.
Raes, A., Detienne, L., Windey, I., & Depaepe, F.	2020	A systematic literature review on synchronous hybrid learning: Gaps identified	Effective but varies; more research needed on synchronous elements.
Means, B., Toyama, Y., Murphy, R., & Baki, M.	2013	The effectiveness of online and blended learning: A	Blended learning shows promising results in

		meta-analysis of the empirical literature	several studies.
Kebritchi, M., Lipschuetz, A., & Santiague, L.	2017	Issues and challenges for teaching successful online courses in higher education: A literature review	Effective online teaching requires addressing various challenges.
Hrastinski, S.	2019	What do we mean by blended learning?	Blended learning combines benefits of online and face-to-face methods.
Crawford, J., et al.	2018	COVID-19: 20 countries' higher education intra-period digital pedagogy responses	Hybrid learning adaptation varied widely across countries.
Dumford, A. D., & Miller, A. L.	2018	Online learning in higher education: Exploring advantages and disadvantages for engagement	Self-regulation is key to success in online learning environments.

This table summarizes key findings and methodologies from the selected literature, providing a foundation for understanding how hybrid learning models impact student engagement and academic performance in the context of post-pandemic education.

The data from the selected literature provides a multifaceted view of the impact of hybrid learning models on student engagement and academic performance in post-pandemic education. Each study contributes unique

insights, reflecting the diversity of experiences and outcomes associated with hybrid learning.

Firstly, Zhang et al. (2020) highlight the increased flexibility offered by hybrid learning models during the COVID-19 pandemic but also point out significant challenges in maintaining student engagement. This finding aligns with the broader trend observed across multiple studies, such as those by Dhawan (2020) and Raes et al. (2020), which emphasize that while hybrid learning can offer increased flexibility and accessibility, it often struggles with issues of student engagement and motivation. The need for a well-designed hybrid learning environment that balances online and face-to-face components is crucial for addressing these engagement challenges.

The systematic reviews conducted by Boelens et al. (2018) and Hrastinski (2019) reveal that designing effective hybrid learning environments involves overcoming several key challenges, including ensuring balanced interaction between online and offline components and maintaining consistent engagement. These studies underscore that while hybrid learning models can be effective, they require careful planning and execution to address the specific needs of students and educators. This includes creating engaging online content and providing sufficient support for students to navigate both learning modes effectively.

Bernard et al. (2019) and Means et al. (2013) provide evidence that blended learning models generally improve academic performance compared to traditional learning methods, though results can vary depending on context and implementation. These findings suggest that hybrid learning, when implemented thoughtfully, has the potential to enhance academic outcomes by leveraging the strengths of both in-person and online instruction. However, the effectiveness of these models depends heavily on how well they are designed and integrated into the educational framework.

The challenges associated with synchronous hybrid learning are highlighted by Raes et al. (2020), who find that while synchronous elements can support real-time interaction, they also introduce complexity that can impact effectiveness. This complexity can include technological issues and varying levels of student readiness and adaptability. The need for more research into the specific elements of synchronous hybrid learning, as identified by this study, points to an area where further investigation could provide valuable insights for improving hybrid learning practices.

Kebritchi et al. (2017) and Dumford and Miller (2018) both emphasize that effective hybrid learning requires addressing various challenges related to course design and delivery. Key challenges include ensuring that online components are engaging and interactive, and that students are adequately supported in managing their learning across different modalities. These studies highlight that successful hybrid learning involves not only the integration of technology but also the development of strategies to support student self-regulation and engagement.

Finally, the comparative analysis by Crawford et al. (2020) shows that global responses to hybrid learning during the pandemic have varied widely, reflecting different levels of infrastructure, resources, and educational practices. This variability underscores the importance of context when evaluating the effectiveness of hybrid learning models. The diverse experiences documented in the literature suggest that while hybrid learning can offer significant benefits, its success depends on local conditions and the ability to adapt strategies to specific educational contexts.

The literature indicates that hybrid learning models have significant potential to enhance student engagement and academic performance, but their success depends on thoughtful implementation and the ability to address specific challenges related to student engagement, course design, and technological integration. Further research and practical adjustments are needed to optimize these models and ensure their effectiveness in diverse educational settings.

Discussion

The findings from the literature review reveal that hybrid learning models have emerged as a key pedagogical approach in response to the challenges posed by the COVID-19 pandemic. Zhang et al. (2020) emphasize the increased flexibility and accessibility provided by hybrid learning, especially in a time when educational institutions worldwide faced closure. This flexibility is particularly beneficial for students balancing personal, academic, and work responsibilities, allowing them to engage with content at their own pace. However, as highlighted in the studies by Dhawan (2020) and Raes et al. (2020), maintaining consistent student engagement remains a challenge, particularly in online components where students may feel isolated or disengaged.

The theory of student engagement, as discussed by Kahu (2013), underscores the importance of interaction, motivation, and active participation in the learning process. The hybrid learning model attempts to

integrate these elements by combining face-to-face interactions with digital tools. However, the data suggests that engagement may suffer when the online environment is not as interactive or engaging as the in-person sessions. Boelens et al. (2018) point out that designing hybrid learning environments requires a careful balance between synchronous and asynchronous activities to ensure that students remain motivated and connected throughout the learning process.

A significant theme across the reviewed studies is the role of technology in hybrid learning. Raes et al. (2020) argue that while synchronous components of hybrid learning can support real-time interactions, technological barriers such as poor internet connectivity and lack of digital literacy can hinder student participation. This aligns with the digital divide theory (Warschauer, 2003), which suggests that unequal access to technology can exacerbate educational inequalities. The post-pandemic shift to hybrid learning models has highlighted the need for institutions to invest in technological infrastructure and ensure that all students have the necessary tools to participate fully in both online and in-person components.

Academic performance, as explored by Bernard et al. (2019), tends to improve when hybrid learning is implemented effectively, suggesting that this model can optimize learning outcomes by leveraging the strengths of both traditional and online approaches. However, the success of hybrid learning in improving academic performance is not universal. Factors such as course design, student readiness, and the quality of online materials significantly influence outcomes. This supports the constructivist theory of learning, which posits that students learn best when they are actively involved in constructing their knowledge (Piaget, 1954). In hybrid learning, this means that the online components must be designed to be as interactive and student-centered as the face-to-face elements.

Crawford et al. (2020) emphasize that the global adoption of hybrid learning during the pandemic has not been uniform. Variability in the success of hybrid learning across different countries reflects disparities in resources, digital infrastructure, and pedagogical approaches. This underscores the importance of contextualizing hybrid learning models to meet the specific needs of students in different regions. The variability in student engagement and academic performance highlighted by these studies suggests that a one-size-fits-all approach to hybrid learning may not be effective. Instead, hybrid learning models must be tailored to the cultural, technological, and educational context in which they are applied.

The findings from Dumford and Miller (2018) highlight another critical factor: student self-regulation. In hybrid learning environments, students are required to manage their own learning more than in traditional settings. The lack of immediate in-person supervision in the online components can lead to procrastination or disengagement for students who struggle with time management or motivation. This aligns with the self-determination theory (Deci & Ryan, 2000), which posits that students need autonomy, competence, and relatedness to stay motivated. Hybrid learning models should therefore provide sufficient scaffolding to help students regulate their learning and remain engaged.

Another key finding is the role of instructional design in maximizing the effectiveness of hybrid learning. According to Kebritchi et al. (2017), well-designed courses that integrate interactive online elements with meaningful in-person sessions tend to yield better student engagement and performance. The importance of instructional design is consistent with Garrison, Anderson, and Archer's (2000) Community of Inquiry framework, which emphasizes the need for social, cognitive, and teaching presence in online and hybrid learning environments. This framework suggests that students are more likely to engage deeply with content when they feel supported by their peers and instructors, and when the online content is cognitively challenging.

Good instructional design also addresses the potential downsides of hybrid learning, such as the disengagement observed in asynchronous components (Boelens et al., 2018). To mitigate this, hybrid learning models can incorporate more synchronous activities, peer collaboration, and interactive online platforms to foster a sense of community and maintain engagement. This suggests that future implementations of hybrid learning need to prioritize student interaction and peer support, even in online settings.

The studies also highlight a need for ongoing support for both students and teachers in hybrid learning environments. Teachers need training to effectively design and deliver hybrid courses, while students require support in navigating the technological and self-regulatory demands of hybrid learning (Kebritchi et al., 2017). Institutions must invest in professional development and student resources to maximize the potential of hybrid learning models.

The data suggests that while hybrid learning models offer significant benefits in terms of flexibility and accessibility, their success in improving

student engagement and academic performance depends heavily on the quality of instructional design, technological infrastructure, and support systems. As the education landscape continues to evolve in the post-pandemic era, hybrid learning models will likely play an increasingly important role, but their implementation must be carefully tailored to address the diverse needs of students and educators alike.

4. Conclusion

Based on the findings from the literature review, it is evident that hybrid learning models have the potential to significantly enhance student engagement and academic performance, particularly in the context of post-pandemic education. The combination of in-person and online learning environments offers flexibility and accessibility, which are crucial for students balancing multiple responsibilities. However, the effectiveness of hybrid learning largely depends on several factors, including course design, technological infrastructure, and student self-regulation. Without addressing these elements, hybrid learning can lead to disengagement and uneven academic outcomes.

The success of hybrid learning models is closely tied to how well educational institutions implement and support this approach. The studies reviewed highlight the need for robust technological infrastructure, teacher training, and student support systems to ensure the success of hybrid learning. When properly designed, hybrid learning can bridge the gap between traditional and online education, offering students more control over their learning process while still benefiting from in-person interactions. However, the digital divide and varying levels of digital literacy present challenges that need to be addressed to ensure equitable access and outcomes for all students.

For future research, it is recommended to explore the long-term impact of hybrid learning on student outcomes across different educational contexts and cultures. Further studies should also examine the role of specific instructional strategies and technological tools that can maximize student engagement in hybrid learning environments. Additionally, there is a need to investigate how hybrid learning models can be tailored to different disciplines and learning styles, ensuring that they are flexible enough to meet diverse educational needs globally.

5. References

Bernard, R. M., Borokhovski, E., Schmid, R. F., Tamim, R. M., & Abrami, P. C. (2019). An updated meta-analysis of blended learning and its effects on student achievement. Educational Research Review, 24, 100-111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2018.11.002

Boelens, R., De Wever, B., & Voet, M. (2018). Four key challenges to the design of blended learning: A systematic literature review. Educational Research Review, 22, 1-18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2017.12.001

Crawford, J., Butler-Henderson, K., Rudolph, J., & Glowatz, M. (2020). COVID-19: 20 countries' higher education intra-period digital pedagogy responses. Journal of Applied Learning & Teaching, 3(1), 1-20. https://doi.org/10.37074/jalt.2020.3.1.7

Dhawan, S. (2020). Online learning: A panacea in the time of COVID-19 crisis. Journal of Educational Technology Systems, 49(1), 5-22. https://doi.org/10.1177/0047239520934018

Dumford, A. D., & Miller, A. L. (2018). Online learning in higher education: Exploring advantages and disadvantages for engagement. Journal of Computing in Higher Education, 30(3), 452-465. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12528-018-9179-z

Hodges, C., Moore, S., Lockee, B., Trust, T., & Bond, A. (2020). The difference between emergency remote teaching and online learning. Educause Review, 27(1), 1-12.

Hrastinski, S. (2019). What do we mean by blended learning? TechTrends, 63(5), 564-569. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-019-00375-5

Kebritchi, M., Lipschuetz, A., & Santiague, L. (2017). Issues and challenges for teaching successful online courses in higher education: A literature review. Journal of Educational Technology Systems, 46(1), 4-29. https://doi.org/10.1177/0047239516661713

Means, B., Toyama, Y., Murphy, R., & Baki, M. (2013). The effectiveness of online and blended learning: A meta-analysis of the empirical literature. Teachers College Record, 115(3), 1-47.

Raes, A., Detienne, L., Windey, I., & Depaepe, F. (2020). A systematic literature review on synchronous hybrid learning: Gaps identified. Learning Environments Research, 23(3), 269-290. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10984-019-09303-z

Zhang, W., Wang, Y., Yang, L., & Wang, C. (2020). Suspending classes without stopping learning: China's education emergency management policy in the COVID-19 outbreak. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 13(3), 55. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm13030055

Bernard, R. M., Borokhovski, E., Schmid, R. F., Tamim, R. M., & Abrami, P. C. (2014). A meta-analysis of blended learning and technology use in higher education: From the general to the applied. Journal of Computing in Higher Education, 26(2), 87-122. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12528-013-9077-3

Boelens, R., De Wever, B., & Voet, M. (2017). Four key challenges to the design of blended learning: A systematic literature review. Educational Research Review, 22, 1-18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2017.06.001

Cleveland-Innes, M., & Wilton, D. (2018). Guide to blended learning. Commonwealth of Learning. https://oasis.col.org/bitstream/handle/11599/3095/2018_Cleveland-InnesWilton_Guide-Blended-Learning.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y

Dhawan, S. (2020). Online learning: A panacea in the time of COVID-19 crisis. Journal of Educational Technology Systems, 49(1), 5-22. https://doi.org/10.1177/0047239520934018

Garrison, D. R., & Vaughan, N. D. (2008). Blended learning in higher education: Framework, principles, and guidelines. Jossey-Bass.

Graham, C. R. (2013). Emerging practice and research in blended learning. In M. G. Moore (Ed.), Handbook of distance education (3rd ed., pp. 333-350). Routledge.

Halverson, L. R., Graham, C. R., Spring, K. J., Drysdale, J. S., & Henrie, C. R. (2014). A thematic analysis of the most highly cited scholarship in the first decade of blended learning research. Internet and Higher Education, 20, 20-34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2013.09.004

Hrastinski, S. (2019). What do we mean by blended learning? TechTrends, 63(5), 564-569. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-019-00375-5

Kintu, M. J., Zhu, C., & Kagambe, E. (2017). Blended learning effectiveness: The relationship between student characteristics, design features and outcomes. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 14(1), 1-20. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-017-0043-4

Singh, J., Steele, K., & Singh, L. (2021). Combining the best of online and face-to-face learning: Hybrid and blended learning approach for COVID-19, post vaccine, & post-pandemic world. Journal of Educational Technology Systems, 50(2), 140-171. https://doi.org/10.1177/00472395211047865